

Longcroft Wind Farm

Technical Appendix 14.2 - Carbon Balance Assessment

| Client: | Renewable Energy Systems UK and Ireland Ltd | l (RES) | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|---|--|
| Project/Proposal No: | 5980 | | | |
| Version: | 1.0 | | | |
| Date: | 2023-10-30 | | 2 | |
| | | (| | |



Document Information

| Project Name: | Longcroft Wind Farm |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Document Title: | Technical Appendix 14.2 - Carbon Balance Assessment |
| Client Name: | Renewable Energy Systems UK and Ireland Ltd (RES) |
| Client Contact: | Jim Cameron |
| Client Address: | Beaufort Court, Egg Farm Lane, Kings Langley, Hertfordshire, WD4 8LR |
| Document Status: | Final for Issue |
| Author: | D Nisbet |
| Reviewed: | J Cassidy |
| Approved: | D Nisbet |
| Date: | 2023-10-30 |
| Version: | 1.0 |
| Project/Proposal Number: | 5980 |
| ITPEnergised Office: | Suite 210, 220 St. Vincent Street, Glasgow, G2 5SG |

Revision History

| Version | Date | Authored | Reviewed | Approved | Notes |
|---------|------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|
| 1.0 | 2023-10-31 | D Nisbet | J Cassidy | D Nisbet | First Issue to Client |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

© Copyright 2023 ITPEnergised. The concepts and information contained in this document are the property of Energised Environments Limited, ITPE Ltd and Xero Energy Limited, trading as ITPEnergised. Use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of ITPEnergised companies constitutes an infringement of copyright unless otherwise expressly agreed by contract.

Limitation: This document has been prepared solely for the use of the Client and any party with whom a warranty agreement has been executed, or an assignment has been agreed. No other parties may rely on the contents of this document without written approval from ITPEnergised for which a charge may be applicable. ITPEnergised accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of use of this document for any purpose other than that for which it was commissioned, nor the use of this document by any third party with whom an agreement has not been executed.

The contents of this document are confidential to the intended recipient and may not be disclosed without their express consent. If received in error, please delete it without making or distributing copies. Opinions and information that do not relate to the official business of Energised Environments Limited registered at 4th Floor, Centrum House, 108-114 Dundas Street, Edinburgh, EH3 5DQ or ITPE Ltd., registered at St. Brandon's House 29 Great George Street, Bristol BS1 5QT, or Xero Energy Limited, registered at 4th Floor, Centrum House, 108-114 Dundas Street, Edinburgh, EH3 5DQ trading as ITPEnergised, are not endorsed by the company or companies.



Contents

| Docum | nent Information | 2 |
|-------|----------------------------------------|---|
| Conte | nts | 3 |
| 1. | Introduction | 4 |
| 2. | Methodology | 4 |
| | 2.1 Input Parameters | 4 |
| 3. | Results | 5 |
| 4. | Conclusion | 6 |
| Annex | 1 – Carbon Calculator Input Parameters | 7 |





1. Introduction

The 'carbon calculator' is the Scottish Government's tool provided to support the process of determining wind farm developments in Scotland. The purpose of the tool is to assess, in a comprehensive and consistent way, the carbon impact of wind farm developments. This is done by comparing the carbon costs of wind farm developments with the carbon savings attributable to the wind farm.

The assessment presented in this Technical Appendix has been produced to calculate the carbon emissions generated in the construction, operation and decommissioning of Longcroft Wind Farm (the proposed development).

The carbon calculator spreadsheet and online tool calculates payback time for wind farm sites on peatland, using methods given in Nayak et al, 2008¹ and revised equations for Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions (Nayak et al, 2010² and Smith et al, 2011³, and the Wind Farm and Carbon Savings Technical Note⁴ v2.2.10.0.

2. Methodology

2.1 Input Parameters

The carbon calculator (online version 1.7.0) submitted allows a range of data to be input to utilise expected, minimum and maximum values, where relevant and applicable. If several parameters are varied together, however, this can have the effect of 'cancelling out' a single parameter change. For this reason, the approach for this assessment, has been to include 'maximum values' as those values which would result in longest (maximum) payback period; and 'minimum values' as those values which would result in the shortest (minimum) payback period. The expected value is based on the most realistic option for the site.

The final turbine choice is not yet finalised but would likely be 6.6 MW. For this reason, the factors which have been used in this assessment include the following:

- The recommended capacity factor within the calculation spreadsheet has been amended to a sitespecific value (46.4%).
- The choice of methodology for calculating the emission factors used the 'site-specific methodology' defined within the calculation spreadsheet.
- Default values for carbon content and bulk density of peat have been used for the assessment. The carbon content ranges from 49% to 62% with an expected value of 55% used. This reflects a range of values typical of the carbon content anticipated from Scottish Peatlands (Birnie et al 1991⁵ and Lindsay 2010⁶). Typical bulk density values have been sourced from the Windfarm Carbon Calculator Web Tool, User Guidance.

¹ Nayak D.R., Miller D., Nolan A., Smith P., Smith J.U. (2008) *Calculating carbon savings from windfarms on Scottish peatlands: a new approach*. Scottish Government.

² Nayak D.R., Miller D., Nolan A., Smith P., Smith J.U. (2010) *Mires and Peat.*, Article 09 4, 1-23 http://www.mires-and-peat.net/, ISSN 1819-754X.

³ Smith J.U., Graves P., Nayak D.R., Smith P., Perks M., Gardiner B., Miller D., Nolan A., Morrice J., Xenakis S., Waldron S., Drew S. (2011) *Carbon implications of windfarms located on peatlands – update of the Scottish Government Carbon Calculator tool*. Final Report, RERAD Report CR/2010/05.

⁴ Scottish Government (2016). *Calculating Potential carbon losses and savings from wind farms on Scottish peatlands*. Technical Note – Version 2.10.0

⁵ Birnie R.V., Clayton P., Griffiths P., Hulme P.D., Robertson, R.A., Sloane B.D., and S.A. Ward. (1991). *Scottish peat resources and their energy potential*. Department of Energy

⁶ Lindsay, R. (2010). Peatbogs and Carbon: a critical synthesis. RSPB



- Generic hydrological parameters have been used for average groundwater. A value of 0.3 m has been used as the expected value. A 'minimum' value of 0.1 m has been used to represent areas of intact peat (the higher the water table, the longer the payback period), and a 'maximum' value of 0.5 m has been used to represent areas of eroded peat. Although limited peat was identified through peat probing, ecological surveys identified much of the site to comprise degraded blanket bog.
- A review of the available literature (Nayak et al., 2008) found that the extent of drainage effects is reported as being anything from 2 m to 50 m horizontally around a site of disturbance. Research into the effects of moor gripping and water table data from other sites yielded a horizontal draw down distance typically of about 2 m. It is thought that in extreme cases, this may extend between 15 m and 30 m, though 15 m is considered an appropriate distance.
- Smith et al. (2011), identified the average extent of drainage impact at three sites (Cross Lochs, Farr Windfarm and Exe Head) as ranging from 3 m to 9 m. However, the actual extent of drainage at any given location will be dependent on local site conditions, including underlying substrata and topography.
- As site specific values are not available, the standard values from 'Windfarm Carbon Calculator Web Tool, User Guidance' have been used. Therefore, the expected value is 10 m (minimum 5 m, maximum 50 m).
- The most recent values for the three required counterfactual factors provided in the online carbon calculator have been included are: Grid Mix 0.19338 t CO₂ MWh⁻¹, fuel mix: 0.432 t CO₂ MWh⁻¹ and coal: 1.002 t CO₂ MWh⁻¹.
- Infrastructure dimensions, including estimated excavation size for turbine foundations, hardstands and track lengths is outlined in Chapter 3. Although not all proposed borrow pits are sited on peatland, conservatively, each location has been included in the assessment. The final dimensions of each borrow pit have yet to be defined. Average dimensions from the search areas identified have been used, however it is unlikely that actual borrow pits would be as large.
- The assessment is based on a series of average soil depths taken from peat surveys undertaken at the site. Probe locations sited on mineral / organic soils (<0.5 m) are conservatively included within the averages.
- An estimate of the total volume of concrete has been included, based on an anticipated 910 m³ concrete being required for each turbine foundation.

A full summary of input parameters are presented in Annex 1 and can be viewed online using reference WZ1Z-O5IW-NPTL.

3. Results

A summary of the anticipated carbon emissions and carbon payback of the proposed development is presented in Plate 2-1 and can be viewed online using reference WZ1Z-O5IW-NPTL.



Plate 3-1 Estimated Payback Period

| RESULTS | Exp | Min. | Max. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Net emissions of carbon dioxide (t CO2 eq.) | 218,151 | 201,852 | 277,377 |
| Carbon Payback Time | | | |
| coal-fired electricity generation (years) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| grid-mix of electricity generation (years) | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.8 |
| fossil fuel-mix of electricity generation (years) | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 |
| Ratio of soil carbon loss to gain by restoration (not used in Scottish applications) | -0.67 | -1.22 | No gains! |
| Ratio of CO2 eq. emissions to power generation (g/kWh) (for info. only) | 8.56 | 7.90 | 10.91 |

4. Conclusion

The calculations of total carbon dioxide emission savings and payback time for the proposed development indicates the overall payback period for 19 turbines with installed capacity of around 6.6 MW would be around 0.9 to 1.3 years, when compared to the fossil fuel mix of electricity generation.

This means that the proposed development is anticipated to take around 1 year to repay the carbon exchange to the atmosphere (the CO_2 debt) following its construction; the site would, in effect, be in a net gain situation following this time period and could then claim to contribute to Scottish Government's national objectives on reducing emissions.



Annex 1 – Carbon Calculator Input Parameters

Carbon Calculator v1.7.0Location: 55.795188 -2.71929Longcroft Wind FarmLocation: 55.795188 -2.71929Renewable Energy Systems (RES) UK and Ireland Ltd

Core input data

| Input data | Expected value | Minimum value | Maximum value | Source of data |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Windfarm characteristics | | | | |
| <u>Dimensions</u> | | | | |
| No. of turbines | 19 | 19 | 19 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Duration of consent (years) | 50 | 50 | 50 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Performance | | | | |
| Power rating of 1 turbine (MW) | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Capacity factor | 46.4 | 46.3 | 46.5 | EIAR Ch1 |
| Backup | | | | |
| raction of output to backup (%) | 5 | 5 | 5 | Carbon Calculator Guidance Doc |
| Additional emissions due to reduced thermal efficiency of the reserve generation (%) | 10 | 10 | 10 | Fixed |
| Total CO2 emission from turbine life (tCO2 MW ⁻¹) (eg. manufacture, construction, decommissioning) | Calculate wrt installed capacity | Calculate wrt installed capacity | Calculate wrt installed capacity | |
| Characteristics of peatland before windfarm developr | nent | | | |
| Гуре of peatland | Acid bog | Acid bog | Acid bog | EIAR Ch8 |
| Average annual air temperature at site (°C) | 7.5 | 5 | 8 | Met Office Weather Data |
| Average depth of peat at site (m) | 0.2 | 0.19 | 0.21 | EIAR Ch10 |
| C Content of dry peat (% by weight) | 55 | 49 | 62 | Birnie R.V., Clayton P., Griffiths P., Hulme P.D., Robertson, R.A., Sloane B.D., and S.A. Ward. (1991). Scottish peat resources and their energy potential. Department of Energy |
| Average extent of drainage around drainage features at site (m) | 10 | 5 | 50 | Windfarm Carbon Calculator Web Tool, User Guidance |
| Average water table depth at site (m) | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | EIA Ch10 |
| Dry soil bulk density (g cm ⁻³) | 0.132 | 0.072 | 0.293 | Windfarm Carbon Calculator Web Tool, User Guidance |
| Characteristics of bog plants | | | | |
| Time required for regeneration of bog plants after restoration (years) | 6 | 4 | 8 | Conservative values |
| Carbon accumulation due to C fixation by bog plants nundrained peats (tC ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹) | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.31 | NatureScot Guidance |
| Forestry Plantation Characteristics | | | | |
| Area of forestry plantation to be felled (ha) | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a |
| a ca or forestry plantation to be relied (ild) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.0 |

| Input data | Expected value | Minimum value | Maximum value | Source of data |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| Average rate of carbon sequestration in timber (tC | ^ | ^ | ^ | |
| ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹) | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a |
| Counterfactual emission factors | | | | |
| Coal-fired plant emission factor (t CO2 MWh ⁻¹) | 1.002 | 1.002 | 1.002 | |
| Grid-mix emission factor (t CO2 MWh ⁻¹) | 0.19338 | 0.19338 | 0.19338 | |
| Fossil fuel-mix emission factor (t CO2 MWh ⁻¹) | 0.432 | 0.432 | 0.432 | |
| Borrow pits | | | | |
| Number of borrow pits | 3 | 3 | 3 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Average length of pits (m) | 243 | 185 | 315 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Average width of pits (m) | 264 | 200 | 298 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Average depth of peat removed from pit (m) | 0.11 | 0.1 | 0.13 | EIAR Ch10 TA 10.2 |
| Foundations and hard-standing area associated with e | each turbine | | | |
| Average length of turbine foundations (m) | 30 | 30 | 30 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Average width of turbine foundations (m) | 30 | 30 | 30 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Average depth of peat removed from turbine foundations(m) | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | EIAR Ch10 TA 10.2 |
| Average length of hard-standing (m) | 55 | 55 | 55 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Average width of hard-standing (m) | 35 | 35 | 35 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Average depth of peat removed from hard-standing | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | EIAR Ch10 TA 10.2 |
| (m) | | | | |
| Volume of concrete used in construction of the ENTIR | | | | |
| Volume of concrete (m ³) | 17290 | 17290 | 17290 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Access tracks | | | | |
| Total length of access track (m) | 19454 | 19453.9 | 19454.1 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Existing track length (m) | 3340 | 3340 | 3340 | EIAR Ch3 |
| <u>Length of access track that is floating road (m)</u> | 0 | 0 | 0 | No floating track currently proposed. |
| Floating road width (m) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Floating road depth (m) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Length of floating road that is drained (m) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Average depth of drains associated with floating | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| roads (m) | 0 | | - | |
| Length of access track that is excavated road (m) | 16114 | 16113.9 | 16114.1 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Excavated road width (m) | 5 | 5 | 5 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Average depth of peat excavated for road (m) | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | EIAR Ch10 TA 10.2 |
| Length of access track that is rock filled road (m) | 0 | 0 | 0 | No rock filled road proposed. |
| Rock filled road width (m) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Rock filled road depth (m) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Length of rock filled road that is drained (m) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| Input data | Expected value | Minimum value | Maximum value | Source of data |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Average depth of drains associated with rock filled | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| roads (m) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Cable trenches | | | | |
| Length of any cable trench on peat that does not | | | | |
| follow access tracks and is lined with a permeable | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| medium (eg. sand) (m) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Average depth of peat cut for cable trenches (m) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Additional peat excavated (not already accounted for | | | | |
| Volume of additional peat excavated (m ³) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Area of additional peat excavated (m ²) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Peat Landslide Hazard | | | | |
| Peat Landslide Hazard and Risk Assessments: Best | | | | |
| Practice Guide for Proposed Electricity Generation | negligible | negligible | negligible | Fixed |
| Developments | | | | |
| Improvement of C sequestration at site by blocking dr | ains, restoration of | f habitat etc | | |
| mprovement of degraded bog | | | | |
| Area of degraded bog to be improved (ha) | 70.92 | 70.91 | 70.93 | EIAR Ch8 |
| Nater table depth in degraded bog before mprovement (m) | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | Windfarm Carbon Calculator Web Tool, User Guidance |
| <i>W</i> ater table depth in degraded bog after mprovement (m) | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.3 | Windfarm Carbon Calculator Web Tool, User Guidance |
| Time required for hydrology and habitat of bog to | | | | |
| return to its previous state on improvement (years) | 5 | 2 | 15 | Conservative values |
| Period of time when effectiveness of the | | | | |
| mprovement in degraded bog can be guaranteed | 50 | 50 | 50 | Duration of consent |
| (years) | | | | |
| Improvement of felled plantation land | _ | _ | _ | |
| Area of felled plantation to be improved (ha) | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a |
| Water table depth in felled area before improvement | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| m) Water table depth in felled area after improvement | | | | |
| m) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Fime required for hydrology and habitat of felled | | | | |
| plantation to return to its previous state on | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| improvement (years) | | | | |
| Period of time when effectiveness of the | | | | |
| improvement in felled plantation can be guaranteed | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| (years) | | | | |
| Restoration of peat removed from borrow pits | | | | |

| Input data | Expected value | Minimum value | Maximum value | Source of data |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Area of borrow pits to be restored (ha) | 7.65 | 7.65 | 7.65 | EIAR Ch3 |
| Depth of water table in borrow pit before restoration with respect to the restored surface (m) | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | Windfarm Carbon Calculator Web Tool, User Guidance |
| Depth of water table in borrow pit after restoration with respect to the restored surface (m) | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.3 | Windfarm Carbon Calculator Web Tool, User Guidance |
| Time required for hydrology and habitat of borrow | | | | |
| pit to return to its previous state on restoration | 5 | 2 | 15 | Conservative estimates. |
| (years) | | | | |
| Period of time when effectiveness of the restoration | | | | |
| of peat removed from borrow pits can be guaranteed (years) | 50 | 50 | 50 | Duration of consent |
| Early removal of drainage from foundations and | | | | |
| hardstanding | | | | |
| Water table depth around foundations and | | 0.4 | o = | |
| hardstanding before restoration (m) | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | Windfarm Carbon Calculator Web Tool, User Guidance |
| Water table depth around foundations and | 0.4 | 0.05 | 0.0 | |
| hardstanding after restoration (m) | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.3 | Windfarm Carbon Calculator Web Tool, User Guidance |
| Time to completion of backfilling, removal of any | | | | |
| surface drains, and full restoration of the hydrology | 0.25 | 0.1 | 3 | EIAR Ch3 |
| (years) | | | | |
| Restoration of site after decomissioning | | | | |
| Will the hydrology of the site be restored on | N | | | |
| decommissioning? | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Will you attempt to block any gullies that have | Vaa | Maa | Maa | |
| formed due to the windfarm? | Yes | Yes | Yes | EIAR Ch3 |
| Will you attempt to block all artificial ditches and | Vaa | Maa | Maa | |
| facilitate rewetting? | Yes | Yes | Yes | EIAR Ch3 |
| <u>Will the habitat of the site be restored on</u> | Vec | Vec | Vac | |
| decommissioning? | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Will you control grazing on degraded areas? | Yes | Yes | Yes | EIAR Ch3 |
| Will you manage areas to favour reintroduction of | Vec | Vec | Vac | |
| species | Yes | Yes | Yes | EIAR Ch3 |
| Methodology | | | | |
| | | | | |

Choice of methodology for calculating emission factors

Site specific (required for planning applications)

Forestry input data

N/A

Construction input data

N/A



ITPEnergised is a leading international consultancy delivering expert energy, environmental, engineering, technical advisory and asset management services; facilitating the transition to net zero.

Visit the ITPEnergised group offices in:

Bristol, London, Edinburgh, Glasgow, Buenos Aires, Madrid, Delhi, Beijing, Canberra, Auckland

Sectors:

Onshore Renewables & Storage | Offshore Wind & Marine Renewables | Oil & Gas Transition Property & Urban Regeneration | Corporate, Industrial & Manufacturing

